

SAMPLE

TASTER

DATE

| CATEGORIES | | INTENSITY | NOTES | QUALITY (0-10) PC | | NTS |
|-------------|--|-------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------|-----|
| Aroma | | 0 1 2 3 4 5 | | | x 1 = | |
| Acidity | | 0 1 2 3 4 5 | | | x 1 = | |
| Bitterness | | 0 1 2 3 4 5 | | | x 1 = | |
| Astringency | 0 to 2.5: ≥ 5 in quality 2.5 to 5: ≤ 5 in quality | 0 1 2 3 4 5 | | | x 1 = | |
| Defects | | 0 1 2 3 4 5 | | | x 2 = | |
| | Cocoa/Cacao | 0 1 2 3 4 5 | | | x 2 = | |
| | Sweet | 0 1 2 3 4 5 | | | | |
| | Nutty | 0 1 2 3 4 5 | | | | |
| Flavor | Dried Fruit | 0 1 2 3 4 5 | | | | |
| | Fresh Fruit | 0 1 2 3 4 5 | | | | |
| | Floral | 0 1 2 3 4 5 | | | | |
| | Spices | 0 1 2 3 4 5 | | | | |
| | Other | | | | | |
| Aftertaste | | 0 1 2 3 4 5 | | | x 1 = | |
| COMMENTS: | | | TASTER'S POINTS | | x 1 = | |
| | | | FINAL SCORE | | | |

INTENSITY SCALE

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|------------------------|-------|--------------------|----------|----------|---------|
| None/Not Detectable | Faint | Clearly Present | Moderate | Dominant | Extreme |

QUALITY SCALE

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|---|----------|---|----|----|----------|---|---|------|---|-----------|----|
| - | Terrible | | Ba | ad | Ordinary | | Ý | Good | | Excellent | |

TIPS TO SCORE QUALITY FOR DEFECTS

Name the defect: A reduction in quality points should be defined in the notes.

Inverse relationship: As the defect flavor(s) increase in intensity, the quality score decreases.





Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License: Commercial purposes are not permitted. Sharing and modifications are allowed under the same license if appropriate credit and changes are indicated. USAID-Equal Exchange-TCHO Cooperative Development Project, Version 2017.



Tasting Form Instructions

The goal of these instructions is to give users of the form a quick and basic guide to its use. For more in-depth information, please reference the *Guide to the Cacao Sensory Analysis Tasting Form* or contact us at **cacaoquality@gmail.com**

Filling in the Form

| Aroma | Smell the sample. Mark the intensity of the aroma on the first scale, write any characteristics that you find in the notes section, and score the quality. Remember that a low intensity or even absence of aroma does not imply a lower quality. | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Acidity | The relationship between intensity and quality varies depending on the perception and description of the acids that the taster finds during the evaluation. For example, if the taster perceives a citric or fruity acid, the score may be higher than if the acidity is more like vinegar (acetic acid). | | | | | | | | | |
| Bitterness and Astringency | influence the qu a bitterness leve | ality, and there el that is 'Clearly ' 'Good' and 'Exc | ics of cacao, but the le is often an inverse rel Present' with an inter ellent' in quality; whil lity. | ationship nsity of 2, | o. For exa might h | imple, ave | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | CATEGORIES | 0 1 2 3 4 5 | | (0-10) | POINT | _ | | | | |
| | Bitterness | | mild bitterness | 8 | x 1 = | 8 | | | | |
| | | | ded on the form for bitterness and a ay result in a quality score of 5 or h | | iich maicales | | | | | |
| Defects | Increased intensity of defects means a lower score in quality. For example, if you find a strong flavor such as dirt that is 'Dominant' with an intensity of 4, your quality score will likely be between 'Terrible' and 'Bad'. | | | | | | | | | |
| | Example: | | | | | | | | | |
| | CATEGORIES INTENSITY NOTES QUALITY (0-10) | | | | | | | | | |
| | Defects | 0 1 2 3 4 5 | dirt | 1.5 | x 2 = | 3 | | | | |
| | If quality points are deducted, the taster should write the name of the specific defect in the notes section. If the sample is clean or free of defects, it should be scored as 'Excellent' in quality. To the right we offer some general categories of defects and some specific examples of common defects within those categories. | | | | | | | | | |
| Flavor | The taster need only evaluate the characteristics that are perceived, as not all can be found in every sample. The quality score is based on a combination of factors including the harmony, clarity and complexity of the flavors. | | | | | | | | | |
| Aftertaste | The residual flavor left in the mouth after the sample has dissolved completely. | | | | | | | | | |
| Taster's Points | The taster's general impression and subjective quality score for the sample. | | | | | | | | | |
| Comments | This space is for observations which are not noted elsewhere (for example: appearance, texture). The taster may also use Comments to prepare a summary of the evaluation and recommendations. | | | | | | | | | |
| Final Score | A cumulative total of all quality points. The highest possible final score is 100 points. | | | | | | | | | |

Using the Scales

This form contains two types of scales. The purpose of the Intensity Scale is to develop a **flavor profile** of the samples, while the Quality Scale helps to identify the sample's **potential**. Remember that there is no direct relationship between intensity and quality, except in the case of Bitterness, Astringency and Defects. Half points are permitted when scoring on either scale.

INTENSITY SCALE



Examples of Defects



MOLD musty, basement, mildew

DIRT mud, wet earth, dust

RAW vegetal, unripe, grassy, green

CONTAMINANTS

plastic, chemical, smoke, metal, petrol

DECOMPOSITION

hammy, meaty, rancid, putrid, compost

Note: Do not deduct points in defects for aroma, bitterness or astringency—these are evaluated in their respective categories.

A maximum evaluation time of 10 minutes per sample is recommended.